

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 MARK F. SPANGLER,
9 Petitioner,

10 v.

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
12 Respondent.

Case No. C16-1923RSM

MINUTE ORDER

16 The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable
17
18 Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge:

19 On January 24, 2017, the United States moved this Court for a two-month
20 continuance to file an answer to Mr. Spangler's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Petition. Dkt. #5.
21 Petitioner Mark F. Spangler filed his Section 2255 motion on December 16, 2016. Dkt. #1.
22 On January 13, 2017, the Court directed the United States to file an answer to Petitioner's
23 motion within forty-five (45) days after the filing of Mr. Spangler's motion. Dkt. #4 at 1.
24

25 In support of its motion, the United States explains that additional time is needed to
26 address the broad allegations raised by Mr. Spangler. Dkt. #5 at 1-2. The United States also
27 explains that additional time is needed to respond to Mr. Spangler given its counsel's heavy
28 caseload. *Id.* at 2. The Court does not require a response to the United States' Motion for
29 Extension of Time from Mr. Spangler.
30

MINUTE ORDER- 1

1 Having considered the United States' motion, the Court finds good cause to GRANT
2 a two-month extension of the United States' deadline to file an answer to Mr. Spangler's
3 Section 2255 motion. Accordingly, the United States is ordered to file an answer to Mr.
4 Spangler's motion by March 31, 2017.

5
6 The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Petitioner and all counsel of record.
7
8 DATED this 25 day of January, 2017.

9 WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk
10
11 By: /s/ Rhonda Stiles
12 Deputy Clerk
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30